arXiv:1605.01842v1 [math-ph] 6 May 2016

RESONANCES OF THIRD ORDER DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

EVGENY L. KOROTYAEV

Abstract. We consider resonances for third order ordinary differential operator with compactly supported coefficients on the real line. Resonance are defined as zeros of a Fredholm determinant on a non-physical sheet of three sheeted Riemann surface. We determine upper bounds of the number of resonances in complex discs at large radius. We express the trace formula in terms of resonances only.

1. Introduction and main results

1.1. **Introduction.** There are a lot of results about resonances for second order operators. Unfortunately, we do not know results about resonances for high order operators. In this paper we begin to study resonances for the case of third order ordinary differential operators with compactly supported coefficients on the real line.

We consider the self-adjoint operator H acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and given by

$$H = H_0 + V, \qquad V = p\partial + \partial p + q, \qquad \partial = -i\frac{d}{dx}, \tag{1.1}$$

where the operator $H_0 = \partial^3 = i\frac{d^3}{dx^3}$ is unperturbed. We assume that the coefficients (p, q) are compactly supported and belong to the space $\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H}_\gamma$ for some $\gamma > 0$ defined by

$$\mathscr{H} = \big\{(p, q) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}) : p' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad \operatorname{supp}(p, q) \in [0, \gamma]^2\big\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Under the condition $(p, q) \in \mathscr{H}$ the operator $V(H_0 - i)^{-1}$ is compact, see Proposition 2.1. Then the operators H_0 and H are self-adjoint on the same domain and $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a core for both H_0 and H. The spectrum of both H_0 and H is purely absolutely continuous and covers the real line $\mathbb{R}$ (see [C80, DTT82, B85, BDT88]). In the present paper we consider the resonances of third order differential operators with compactly supported coefficients. The resonances are defined as zeros of the Fredholm determinant D on three sheeted Riemann surface of the spectral variable λ. We obtain global estimates of the function D and determine asymptotics of the number of resonances in the large disc.

Now we discuss the main problems when we study resonances for third order differential operators. Recall that for second order operators the scattering amplitude (or the Fredholm determinant, it is equivalent) is a basic function to study resonances. Here the so-called Born term (the first term in the scattering amplitude) is important and give a lot of information about resonances, for any dimension. For third order operators the scattering amplitude (or the Fredholm determinant, it is equivalent) is also the basic function to study resonances. But here the Born term is a very simple function (see (4.7)). Thus the Born term is not so useful and we have much more problems to study resonances.

Date: May 9, 2016.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81Q10 (34L40 47E05 47N50).

Key words and phrases. third order operators, resonances, trace formula .

Results about the operator H (in general, here the coefficients p, q are not compactly supported) are used in the integration of the *bad Boussinesq equation* given by

$$\ddot{p} = \frac{1}{3}\partial^2\Big(\partial^2 p + 4p^2\Big), \quad \dot{p} = \partial q, \tag{1.3}$$

here $\dot{u} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ is the derivatives with respect to the time and $\partial u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ is the derivatives with respect space variable (see [BZ02], [DTT82] and references therein). It is equivalent to the Lax equation $\dot{H} = HK - KH$, where $K = -\partial^2 + \frac{4}{3}p$.

1.2. **Determinant.** In order to define the Fredholm determinant D we rewrite V in the form

$$V = V_1V_2, \quad V_1 = \chi_{[0,\gamma]}, \qquad V_2 = \big(2p\partial + (q - ip')\big), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\chi_A(x) = 1$ on the set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\chi_A(x) = 0$ on the set $\mathbb{R} \setminus A$. Instead of spectral parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_\pm$ it is convenient to introduce a new variable $k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$ by $\lambda = k^3$. Here the corresponding domain $\mathbb{K}_\pm$ (the sector, see Fig 1) is defined by

$$\mathbb{K}_\pm = \Big\{k \in \mathbb{C} : \arg k \in (0, \pm\tfrac{\pi}{3})\Big\} \subset \mathbb{C}_\pm.$$

The sector $\mathbb{K}_+$ of the variable k corresponds to the upper half-plane $\mathbb{C}_+$ of the spectral parameter λ. The sector $\mathbb{K}_-$ of the variable k corresponds to the lower half-plane $\mathbb{C}_-$ of the spectral parameter λ. Define a free resolvent $R_0(k)$ and an operator $Y^0_\pm(k), k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$ by

$$R_0(k) = (H_0 - k^3)^{-1}, \quad Y^0_\pm(k) = V_2R_0(k)V_1. \tag{1.5}$$

We will show (see Lemma 2.4 i) that for each $(p, q) \in \mathscr{H}$ the operator $Y^0_\pm(k), k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$, is trace class and analytic in k. Thus we can define the Fredholm determinant $D_\pm$ by

$$D_\pm(k) = \det(I + Y^0_\pm(k)), \qquad k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm, \tag{1.6}$$

which is analytic in $\mathbb{K}_\pm$. Moreover, in Theorem 1.1 we will show that the function $D_\pm$ has an analytic extension from $\mathbb{K}_\pm$ into the whole complex plane without zero. The determinant $D_\pm$ has not zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{K}_\pm}$, since the operator H has not eigenvalues. The zeros of the function $D_\pm$ in $\mathbb{C}$ are called *resonances*. The basic properties of determinants (see below (2.2), (2.4)) give the identity

$$D_+(k) = \overline{D}_-(\overline{k}), \qquad \forall\ k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{1.7}$$

Due to this identity it is enough to consider D_+ or D_-.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(p, q) \in \mathscr{H}$. Then the determinant $D_\pm(k)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{K}_\pm$ and has an analytic extension from $\mathbb{K}_\pm$ into the whole complex plane with a possible pole of order $m \leqslant 3$ at zero. Furthermore, the function $D_+(k)$ satisfies the following asymptotics and identity:*

$$\log D_+(k) = \frac{2e_+p_0}{3ik} + \frac{e_-q_0}{3ik^2} + \frac{O(1)}{k^3}, \tag{1.8}$$

as $|k| \to \infty$ uniformly in $\arg k \in [0, \frac{\pi}{3}]$, where $p_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x)dx$ and

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{Im}(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}} \log D_+((\lambda + i0)^{\frac{1}{3}})d\lambda = \frac{2}{3}p_0. \tag{1.9}$$

Remark. A proof of the asymptotics (1.8) and the trace formula (1.9) is standard, see e.g. [Ko16].

1.3. **S-matrix.** We discuss S-matrix for the operators H_0, H. It is well known that the wave operators $W_\pm = W_\pm(H, H_0)$ for the pair H_0, H, given by

$$W_\pm = s - \lim e^{itH} e^{-itH_0} \qquad \text{as} \qquad t \to \pm\infty,$$

exist and are unitary (see [DTT82, B85, BDT88]). Then the scattering operator $\mathcal{S} = W_+^* W_-$ is unitary. The operators H_0 and $\mathcal{S}$ commute and thus are simultaneously diagonalizable:

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_\lambda d\lambda, \qquad H_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} \lambda \mathbb{1}_\lambda d\lambda, \qquad \mathcal{S} = \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{S}(\lambda) d\lambda; \tag{1.10}$$

here $\mathbb{1}_\lambda$ is the identity in the fiber space $\mathcal{H}_\lambda = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ is the scattering matrix (a scalar function in our case) for the pair H_0, H.

We describe an analytic extension of the S-matrix. We consider two cases: firstly, an analytic extension of the S-matrix for positive energy $\mathcal{S}(\lambda), \lambda = k^3 > 0$; secondly an analytic extension of the S-matrix for negative energy $\mathcal{S}(\lambda), \lambda = k^3 < 0$. We have the following results.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(p, q) \in \mathscr{H}$. Then*

i) The S-matrix $S_+(k) = \mathcal{S}(k^3), k > 0$ has an analytic extension into the sector $\mathbb{K}_+$ and a meromorphic extension into the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. The zeros of S_+ coincide with the zeros of D_- and the poles of S_+ are precisely the zeros of D_+ and $D_\pm$ satisfies

$$D_-(k) = D_+(k) S_+(k) \qquad \forall\ k \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1.11}$$

ii) The S-matrix $S_-(k) = \mathcal{S}(k^3), k \in e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\mathbb{R}_+$ has an analytic extension into the sector $\mathbb{K}_+$ and a meromorphic extension into the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. The zeros of S_- coincide with the zeros of $D_-(e^{-i2\frac{\pi}{3}}k)$ and the poles of S_- are precisely the zeros of D_+ and $D_\pm$ satisfies

$$D_-(e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{3}}k) = D_+(k) S_-(k) \qquad \forall\ k \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1.12}$$

We remark that we define the resonances as zeros of the Fredholm determinant D_+ of an analytic continuation in the Riemann surface, as usual. We can define the resonances as the poles of the meromorphic continuation od the perturbed resolvent in the Riemann surface. By Theorem 1.2, the resonances equivalently are poles of the scattering matrix or zeros of the determinant D.

1.4. **Estimates.** For $(p, q) \in \mathscr{H}$ we introduce a radius $r_* \geqslant 1$ by

$$r_* = \max\{\tfrac{4}{3}C_*, 1\}, \qquad C_* = 2\sqrt{\gamma}\big(\|q - ip'\|_2 + 2\|p\|_2\big). \tag{1.13}$$

We prove the main result of the present paper.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(p, q) \in \mathscr{H}$. Then the determinant $D_+(k)$ satisfies:*

$$|D_+(k)| \leqslant 48 e^{2\gamma|k|}, \qquad \forall \quad |k| \geqslant r_*. \tag{1.14}$$

Remark. 1) The function $k^m D_+(k)$ is entire and (1.17) gives that this function is of exponential type, where m is defined in Theorem 1.1. We recall that an entire function $f(z)$ is said to be of *exponential type* if there is a constant α such that $|f(z)| \leqslant$ const. $e^{\alpha|z|}$ everywhere (see [Ko88]).

2) In the proof the following symmetry of the free resolvent is used. Let $R_0^+(x - y, k), x, y \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{K}_+$ be the kernel of the free resolvent. This kernel is pure imaginary on the half-line $e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\mathbb{R}$. Thus it satisfies the basic identity

$$R_0^+(e_o k, t) = -\overline{R}_0^+(e_o \overline{k}, t) \qquad \forall\ k \neq 0, \quad e_o = e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}, \tag{1.15}$$

and the resolvent is symmetric with respect to the line $e_o\mathbb{R}$, see Fig. 1.

3) We need also the well known result about the Hadamard factorization. The function $k^m D_+(k)$ is entire and denote by $(k_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ the sequence of its zeros $\neq 0$ (counted with multiplicity), so arranged that $0 < |k_1| \leqslant |k_2| \leqslant \dots$. Then due to (1.14) we have

$$D_+(k) = \frac{C}{k^m} e^{bk} \lim_{r\to\infty} \prod_{|k_n|\leqslant r} \left(1 - \frac{k}{k_n}\right) e^{\frac{k}{k_n}}, \qquad C = \lim_{k\to 0} k^m D_+(k) \neq 0, \tag{1.16}$$

for some $b \in \mathbb{C}$ and integer $m \in [0, 3]$, where the product converges uniformly in every bounded disc.

Now we discuss estimates of the number of zeros of the function D_+ in the disc $|k| < r$ counted with multiplicity.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $(p, q) \in \mathscr{H}$ and let $\mathcal{N}(r)$ be the number of zeros of the function D_+ in the disc $|k| < r$ counted with multiplicity for $r \geqslant r_*$. Then*

$$\mathcal{N}(r) \leqslant (16 + m \log 2) + \frac{6\gamma}{\log 2} r, \tag{1.17}$$

where $m \leqslant 3$ is the order of pole of D_+ at $k = 0$.

Remark. We have an upper estimate (1.17). There is a problem to get a lower estimate.

Our next corollary concerns the trace formula in terms of resonances. Define the scattering phase ϕ_{sc} by $S_+(k) = e^{-2i\phi_{sc}(k)}, k > 0$. Since $D_+(k) = 1 + o(1)$ as $|k| \to \infty, k \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}_+$ we define

$$\log D_+(k) = \log |D_+(k)| + i\phi_{sc}(k), \qquad k \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}_+ \tag{1.18}$$

by condition $\log D_+(k) = o(1)$ as $|k| \to \infty, k \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}_+$.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $(p, q) \in \mathscr{H}$ and let $R(k) = (H - k^3)^{-1}, k \in \mathbb{K}_+$. Then the function $\operatorname{Tr}\big(R_0(k) - R(k)\big)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{K}_+$ and has a meromorphic extension into the whole complex plane. Moreover, the following identity (the trace formula) holds true:*

$$3k^3 \operatorname{Tr}\big(R_0(k) - R(k)\big) = -m + bk + k^2 \sum_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{1}{k_n(k - k_n)}, \tag{1.19}$$

where the series converges absolutely and uniformly on any compact set of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{k_n, n \geqslant 1\}$, and the scattering phase ϕ_{sc} satisfies

$$\phi'_{sc}(k) = \operatorname{Im} b + \sum_{n\geqslant 1} \left(\frac{1}{|k_n - k|^2} - \frac{1}{|k_n|^2}\right) \operatorname{Im} k_n \quad \forall\ k > 0, \tag{1.20}$$

uniformly on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Remark. Note that the identity (1.20) is a Breit-Wigner type formula for resonances (see p. 53 of [RS78]).

1.5. **Brief overview.** Concerning results on resonances, we recall that there are a lot of results about resonances from a physicists point of view, see [LL65], [RS79]. Since then, properties of resonances have been the object of intense study and we refer to [SZ91], [Z99] for the mathematical approach in the multi-dimensional case and references given there.

A lot of papers are devoted to resonances of the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator, see Froese [F97], Hitrik [H99], Korotyaev [K04], Simon [S00], Zworski [Z87] and references given there. We recall that Zworski [Z87] obtained the first results about the asymptotic distribution of resonances for the Schrödinger operator with compactly supported potentials on the real line (this result is sharper than Theorem 1.4 in the present paper). Inverse problems (characterization, recovering, uniqueness) in terms of resonances were solved by Korotyaev for a Schrödinger operator with a compactly supported potential on the real line [K05] and the half-line [K04], see also Zworski [Z02], Brown-Knowles-Weikard [BKW03] concerning the uniqueness.

The resonances for one-dimensional operators $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q_\pi + q$, where q_π is periodic and q is a compactly supported potential were considered by Firsova [F84], Korotyaev [K11], Korotyaev-Schmidt [KS12]. Christiansen [C06] considered resonances for steplike potentials. Lieb-Thirring inequality for the resonances was determined in [K16]. The "local resonance" stability problems were considered in [Ko04], [MSW10].

Inverse problem for n-th order differential operators on the unit interval is discussed in [L66], [Y00]. We mention that there are results about inverse problem for third order differential operators on the unit interval see [A99], [A01]. Spectral analysis and spectral asymptotics for the third order operator with periodic coefficients are considered in for [BK14], [BK14]. Here the Riemann spectral surface has three sheets and the inverse problem is still open.

1.6. **Plan of the paper.** In Section 2 we determine different properties of free resolvent and obtain basic estimates about $Y_\pm^0$. Section 3 contains estimates and asymptotics of the Fredholm determinant $D_\pm(k), k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$ and the proof of Theorem 1.1. Section 4 establishes an analytic continuation of $D_\pm(k), k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$ and the meromorphic continuation of S-matrix into the complex plane. In Section 5 we determine the global estimate of the Fredholm determinant D_+ on the whole complex plane. In Section 6 we prove the main theorems. Appendix contains estimates about the approximation of the scattering amplitude.

2. Properties of the free resolvent

2.1. **The well-known facts.** By $\mathcal{B}$ we denote the class of bounded operators. Let $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ be the trace and the Hilbert-Schmidt class equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_1}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}$ correspondingly. We recall some well known facts. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $AB, BA, X \in \mathcal{B}_1$. Then

$$\operatorname{Tr} AB = \operatorname{Tr} BA, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\det(I + AB) = \det(I + BA), \tag{2.2}$$

$$|\det(I + X)| \leqslant e^{\|X\|_{\mathcal{B}_1}}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\overline{\det(I + X)} = \det(I + X^*), \tag{2.4}$$

see e.g., Sect. 3. in the book [S05]. Let the operator-valued function $\Omega : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{B}_1$ be analytic for some domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $(I + \Omega(k))^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ for any $k \in \mathcal{D}$. Then for the function $F(k) = \det(I + \Omega(k))$ we have

$$F'(k) = F(k) \operatorname{Tr}(I + \Omega(k))^{-1}\Omega'(k), \qquad k \in \mathcal{D}. \tag{2.5}$$



FIGURE 1. The plane $\mathbb{K}$ is a union of sectors $\mathbb{K}_\pm, \mathbb{K}'_\pm, \mathbb{K}''_\pm$ and $e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\mathbb{R}$ is the symmetry line for D_+

2.2. **The free resolvent.** Define the Fourier transformation $\Phi : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\widehat{f}(k) = (\Phi f)(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.6}$$

We discuss the free resolvent $R_0(k) = (H_0 - k^3)^{-1}, k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$. The kernel $R_0(k, x-y), x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ of the free resolvent $R_0(k), k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$ is given by

$$R_0(k,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi t} \frac{d\xi}{\xi^3 - k^3}, \quad t = x - y, \qquad k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm. \tag{2.7}$$

The equation $\xi^3 - k^3 = 0$ has three zeros:

$$k_0 = k, \quad k^\pm = k e_\pm, \quad e_\pm = e^{\pm i\frac{2\pi}{3}}.$$

• Consider the case $k \in \mathbb{K}_+$, i.e., when the spectral parameter $\lambda = k^3$ belongs to the upper half-plane $\mathbb{C}_+$. We have for $t = x - y > 0$:

$$R_0^+(k,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi t} \frac{d\xi}{\xi^3 - k^3} = \frac{2\pi i}{2\pi} \left(\frac{e^{itk}}{3k^2} + \frac{e^{itk^+}}{3k^2 e_+^2} \right) = \frac{i}{3k^2} (e^{itk} + e_+ e^{itk^+})$$

and for $t = x - y < 0$:

$$R_0^+(k,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi t} \frac{d\xi}{\xi^3 - k^3} = -\frac{2\pi i}{2\pi} \frac{1}{3k^2 e_-^2} e^{itk^-} = -\frac{i e_-}{3k^2} e^{itk^-}.$$

We rewrite these identities in the form

$$R_0^+(k,t) = \frac{i}{3k^2} \begin{cases} e^{itk} + e_+ e^{itk^+} & \text{as} \quad t > 0 \\ -e_- e^{itk^-} & \text{as} \quad t < 0 \end{cases}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{K}_+. \tag{2.8}$$

Recall that $\partial = -i\frac{d}{dx}$. Similar arguments give identities for the operator $\partial R_0(k)$:

$$(\partial R_0^+)(k,t) = \frac{i}{3k}\begin{cases}(e^{itk} + e_- e^{itk^+}) & \text{as} \quad t>0\\ -e_+ e^{itk^-} & \text{as} \quad t<0\end{cases}, \qquad k\in\mathbb{K}_+. \tag{2.9}$$

• Consider the case $k\in\mathbb{K}_-$, i.e., when the spectral parameter $\lambda = k^3$ belongs to the lower half-plane $\mathbb{C}_-$. We have for $t = x-y<0$:

$$R_0^-(k,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi t}\frac{d\xi}{\xi^3-k^3} = -\frac{2\pi i}{2\pi}\left(\frac{e^{itk}}{3k^2} + \frac{e^{itk^-}}{3k^2e_-^2}\right) = -\frac{i}{3k^2}(e^{itk} + e_- e^{itk^-})$$

and for $t = x-y>0$:

$$R_0^-(k,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi t}\frac{d\xi}{\xi^3-k^3} = \frac{2\pi i}{2\pi}\frac{1}{3k^2e_+^2}e^{itk^+} = \frac{ie_+}{3k^2}e^{itk^+}.$$

We rewrite these identities in the form

$$R_0^-(k,t) = \frac{i}{3k^2}\begin{cases}e_+ e^{itk^+} & \text{as} \quad t>0\\ (-e^{itk} - e_- e^{itk^-}) & \text{as} \quad t<0\end{cases}, \qquad k\in\mathbb{K}_-. \tag{2.10}$$

Similar arguments give following identities for the operator $\partial R_0(k)$:

$$(\partial R_0^-)(k,t) = \frac{i}{3k}\begin{cases}e_- e^{itk^+} & \text{as} \quad t>0\\ (-e^{itk} - e_+ e^{itk^-}) & \text{as} \quad t<0\end{cases}, \qquad k\in\mathbb{K}_-. \tag{2.11}$$

The definitions (1.4), (1.5) imply

$$Y_\pm^0 = V_2R_0(k)V_1 = \big(2p\partial + (q-ip')\big)R_0V_1, \qquad k\in\mathbb{K}_\pm. \tag{2.12}$$

Below we show that each operator $Y_\pm(k), k\in\mathbb{K}_\pm$ belongs to the Hilbert Schmidt class and the mapping $Y_\pm : \mathbb{K}_\pm \to \mathcal{B}_2$ is analytic. We have the following lemma.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(p,q)\in\mathscr{H}$. Then*
i) $Y_\pm^0(k)$ is Hilbert Schmidt for all $k\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ and analytic in k.
ii) the operator $VR_0(k)$ is Hilbert Schmidt for all $k\in\mathbb{K}_\pm$.
iii) For each $t\in\mathbb{R}$ the kernel $R_0^+(k,t)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ with pole at $k=0$ of order 2. Moreover, $iR_0^+(k,t)$ is real on the line $e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\mathbb{R}$ and

Proof. i) Recall that $Y_\pm^0(k) = V_2R_0(k)V_1, k\in\mathbb{K}_\pm$. Let $Y_\pm^0(x,y,k)$ be its integral kernel. From explicit formulas (2.8) - (2.11) we deduce that $Y_\pm^0(x,y,k)$ as a function of k has as analytic extension to the whole complex plane with pole at $k=0$. From (2.8) and (2.9) we deduce that the operator $Y_\pm^0(k), k\in\mathbb{K}_\pm$ has the kernel $Y_\pm^0(x,y,k)$ from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $k\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$, since the functions p,q are compactly supported. The proof of ii) is similar.

iii) For any $k = re^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}, r>0$ the identity (2.8) gives

$$iR_0^+(k,t) = \frac{1}{3r^2}\begin{cases}(\overline{e}_* e^{itk} + e_* e^{itke_+}) = 2\,\mathrm{Re}(\overline{e}_* e^{itk}) & \text{as} \quad t>0\\ e^{itke_-} = e^{tr} & \text{as} \quad t<0\end{cases},$$

since $e_- = -e_*$ and $ke_+ = -\overline{k}$ and $ike_- = r$. Thus $iR_0^+(re^{i\frac{\pi}{6}},t)$ is real for all t and we have (1.15). ∎

In order to study resonances we use the symmetry (1.15). Then it is sufficient to study resonances only on the turned half plane $e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}\mathbb{C}_+$.

Using (2.8) and (2.10) we obtain the following formula

$$R_0^+(k,t) - R_0^-(k,t) = \frac{i}{3k^2} e^{itk}, \qquad k \neq 0, t = x - y \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.13}$$

Thus we have the **first** identity for $Y_\pm^0$:

$$Y_+^0(k) - Y_-^0(k) = P(k), \qquad k \neq 0, \tag{2.14}$$

where $P(k)$ is a rank one operator with a kernel $P(k,x,y)$ given by

$$P(k,x,y) = \frac{i}{3k^2} V(x,k) e^{i(x-y)k} \chi_{[0,\gamma]}(y), \quad V(\cdot,k) = 2kp + (q - ip'). \tag{2.15}$$

Moreover, we have

$$R_0^-(k^-,t) = \frac{i}{3k^2 e_-^2} \begin{cases} e_+ e^{itk} \\ -e^{itke_-} - e_- e^{itke_-^2} \end{cases} = \frac{i}{3k^2} \begin{cases} e^{itk} & \text{as } t > 0 \\ -e_- e^{itk^-} - e_+ e^{itk^+} & \text{as } t < 0 \end{cases}. \tag{2.16}$$

The relations (2.8), (2.16) give

$$R_0^+(k,t) - R_0^-(k^-,t) = \frac{i e_+}{3k^2} e^{itke_+}, \qquad k \neq 0, t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.17}$$

which yields the **second** identity for $Y_\pm^0$:

$$Y_+^0(k) - Y_-^0(k^-) = P(k^+), \qquad k \neq 0. \tag{2.18}$$

Thus from (2.14)-(2.18) we obtain

Proposition 2.2. *If $k \neq 0$, then $Y_+^0(k) - Y_-^0(k)$ and $Y_+^0(k) - Y_-^0(e_-k)$ are trace class and satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y_+^0(k) - Y_-^0(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} &\leqslant \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{3|k|^2} \|V(\cdot,k)\|_2 e^{\gamma |\operatorname{Im} k|}, \\ \|Y_+^0(k) - Y_-^0(k^-)\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} &\leqslant \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{3|k|^2} \|V(\cdot,k^-)\|_2 e^{\gamma |\operatorname{Im} k^-|}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

2.3. **The operator valued function $Y_\pm^0$.** In order to estimate $Y_\pm^0$ we need the following results.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $F_m(k) = a\partial^m R_0(k) b$ for $m = 0, 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$ for some $a, b \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

i) Each operator $F_0(k) \in \mathcal{B}_j, j = 1, 2, k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$; the operator-valued function $X_0 : \mathbb{K}_\pm \to \mathcal{B}_j$ is analytic. Moreover, $X_0(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$ satisfies

$$\|F_0(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leqslant \frac{2}{3|k|^2} \|a\|_2 \|b\|_2, \tag{2.20}$$

$$\|F_0(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} \leqslant \frac{\|a\|_2 \|b\|_2}{\sqrt{3}|k| (|\operatorname{Im} k| |\operatorname{Im} k^+|)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{2.21}$$

ii) Each $F_1(k) \in \mathcal{B}_1, k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$ and the operator-valued function $F_1 : \mathbb{K}_\pm \to \mathcal{B}_1$ is analytic. Moreover, X_1 satisfies

$$\|F_1(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leqslant \frac{2}{3|k|} \|a\|_2 \|b\|_2, \quad k \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}_\pm, \tag{2.22}$$

$$\|F_1(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} \leqslant \frac{3\|a\|_2 \|b\|_2}{2(|\operatorname{Im} k| |\operatorname{Im} k^+|)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{2.23}$$

Proof. We consider $k \in \mathbb{K}_+$, the proof for $k \in \mathbb{K}_-$ is similar. The estimates (2.8) give

$$\|F_0(k)\|^2_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leqslant \frac{4}{9|k|^4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} |a(x)|^2|b(y)|^2 dy = \frac{4}{9|k|^4}\|a\|^2\|b\|^2,$$

which yields (2.20). Similar arguments and estimates (2.8) imply

$$\|F_1(k)\|^2_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leqslant \frac{4}{9|k|^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} |a(x)|^2|b(y)|^2 dy = \frac{4}{9|k|^2}\|a\|^2\|b\|^2$$

which yields (2.22). For $k \in \mathbb{K}_+$ we have

$$\begin{gathered} \frac{1}{\xi^3 - k^3} = \frac{1}{(\xi - k)(\xi - k^-)(\xi - k^+)}, \\ k^\pm = e_\pm k, \quad e_\pm = e^{\pm i\frac{2\pi}{3}}, \qquad k^+ - k^- = ik\sqrt{3}, \\ -\operatorname{Im} k^- = \sin(\tfrac{\pi}{3} + \arg k) \in [1, \tfrac{\sqrt{3}}{2}]. \end{gathered} \tag{2.24}$$

Using the estimates (2.24) and $|\operatorname{Im} k^-| \geqslant \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|k|$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_0(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} &\leqslant \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} k^-|}\Big\|a(\partial - k)^{-1}\Big\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}\Big\|(\partial - k^+)^{-1}b\Big\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \\ \leqslant \frac{\|a\|_2\|b\|_2}{2\pi|\operatorname{Im} k^-|}\Big\|\frac{1}{\xi - k}\Big\|_2\Big\|\frac{1}{\xi + k^+}\Big\|_2 &= \frac{\|a\|_2\|b\|_2}{2|\operatorname{Im} k^-|(|\operatorname{Im} k||\operatorname{Im} k^+|)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\leqslant \frac{\|a\|_2\|b\|_2}{\sqrt{3}|k|(|\operatorname{Im} k||\operatorname{Im} k^+|)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |s \pm k|^{-2} ds = \frac{\pi}{\operatorname{Im} k}$. Similar arguments imply

$$\|F_1(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} \leqslant C_1\Big\|a(\partial - k)^{-1}\Big\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}\Big\|(\partial - k^+)^{-1}b\Big\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leqslant \frac{C_1\|a\|_2\|b\|_2}{2(|\operatorname{Im} k||\operatorname{Im} k^+|)^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

$$C_1 = \sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}} |g(\xi)|, \qquad g(\xi) = \frac{|\xi|}{|\xi - k^-|} \leqslant 1 + \frac{|k^-|}{|\xi - k^-|} \leqslant 1 + \frac{|k|}{|\operatorname{Im} k^-|} \leqslant 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \leqslant 3$$

which yields (2.23) ■

Recall that for $(p, q) \in \mathscr{H}$ we have introduced the constants

$$C_* = 2\sqrt{\gamma}\big(\|q - ip'\|_2 + 2\|p\|_2\big), \qquad r_* = \max\{\tfrac{4}{3}C_*, 1\}, \quad V_0(k) = \textstyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} V(x, k)dx.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(p, q) \in \mathscr{H}$. Then the operator $Y^0_\pm(k) \in \mathcal{B}_j, j = 1, 2$ for each $k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$, the operator-valued function $Y^0_\pm : \mathbb{K}_\pm \to \mathcal{B}_j$ is analytic and has an analytic extension into the whole complex plane without zero. Moreover, $Y^0_\pm(k)$ satisfies for all $k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$:*

$$\begin{aligned} |V_0(k)| &\leqslant \sqrt{\gamma}\|V(\cdot, k)\|_2, \quad \forall\ k, \\ \sqrt{\gamma}\|V(\cdot, k)\|_2 &\leqslant |k|C_*, \quad \forall\ |k| \geqslant r_*, \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

and

$$\|Y^0_\pm(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leqslant \frac{(1 + |k|)}{3|k|^2}C_*, \tag{2.26}$$

$$\|Y^0_\pm(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} \leqslant \frac{(1 + |k|)}{|k|}\frac{C_*}{(|\operatorname{Im} k||\operatorname{Im} k^+|)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \tag{2.27}$$

and in particular,

$$\|Y^0_\pm(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}, \qquad if \qquad |k| \geqslant r_*. \tag{2.28}$$

Proof. We have

$$|V_0| = |\int_{\mathbb{R}} (2pk + (q - ip'))dx| \leqslant \sqrt{\gamma}\|V(\cdot,k)\|_2, \quad \forall\ k,$$

$$\frac{|V_0|}{|k|} \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{|k|}\|V(\cdot,k)\|_2 \leqslant \sqrt{\gamma}\|2p\|_2 + \sqrt{\gamma}\frac{\|q - ip'\|_2}{|k|} \leqslant \frac{C_*}{2} + \frac{C_*}{2r_*} \leqslant C_* \quad \forall\ |k| \geqslant r_*.$$

We rewrite $Y^0_\pm$ in the form

$$Y^0_\pm = X_\pm + T_\pm, \quad X_\pm = (q - ip')R^0_\pm(k)V_1, \quad T_\pm = 2p\partial R^0_\pm(k)V_1. \tag{2.29}$$

From Lemma 2.3 we deduce that the mapping $Y^0_\pm : \mathbb{K}_\pm \to \mathcal{B}_2$ is analytic and satisfies

$$\|Y^0_\pm(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leqslant \|X_\pm(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} + \|T_\pm(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leqslant \frac{2}{3|k|^2}\|q - ip'\|_2\|V_1\|_2 + \frac{4}{3|k|}\|p\|_2\|V_1\|_2,$$

which yields (2.26). From Lemma 2.3 we deduce that the mapping $Y^0_\pm : \mathbb{K}_\pm \to \mathcal{B}_1$ is analytic and satisfies

$$\|Y^0_\pm(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} \leqslant \|X_\pm(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} + \|T_\pm(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} \leqslant \frac{\|q - ip'\|_2\|V_1\|_2}{\sqrt{3}|k|w} + \frac{3\|p\|_2\|V_1\|_2}{w},$$

where $w = (|\operatorname{Im} k||\operatorname{Im} k^+|)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ which yields (2.27).

From Proposition 2.2 we deduce that $Y^0_+(k) - Y^0_-(k) \in \mathcal{B}_1$ for all $k \neq 0$. Above we have obtained that $Y^0_\pm(k) \in \mathcal{B}_1$ for all $k \neq \mathbb{K}_\pm$. This yields that $Y^0_\pm(k) \in \mathcal{B}_1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{K}_0 = \mathbb{K}_+ \cup \mathbb{K}_-$. Moreover, using $Y^0_+(k) - Y^0_-(e_-k) \in \mathcal{B}_1$ for all $k \neq 0$ we obtain $Y^0_\pm(k) \in \mathcal{B}_1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{K}_0 \cup e_+\mathbb{K}_0$. Repeating these arguments give that $Y^0_\pm(k) \in \mathcal{B}_1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{K}_0 \cup e_+\mathbb{K}_0 \cup e_-\mathbb{K}_0$.

For each $k \in e_+\mathbb{R} \cup e_-\mathbb{R}, k \neq 0$ we have

$$Y^0_\pm(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|z|=\varepsilon} \frac{Y^0_\pm(k+z)dz}{z}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Here due to (2.27) and the properties of $Y^0_\pm$ (described above) the function $\|Y^0_\pm(k+z)\|_{\mathcal{B}_1}$ integrable. Thus $Y^0_\pm(k)$ is trace class for all $k \neq 0$. ∎

We introduce the operator valued function $Y_\pm$ for the perturbed resolvent $R(k) = (H - k^3)^{-1}$ by

$$Y_\pm(k) = V_2R(k)V_1, \qquad k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm. \tag{2.30}$$

This operator satisfies

$$(I - Y^0_\pm(k))(I + Y_\pm(k)) = I \qquad \forall\ k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm. \tag{2.31}$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(p,q) \in \mathscr{H}$. Then each $Y_\pm(k) \in \mathcal{B}_1, k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$ and the operator-valued function $Y_\pm : \mathbb{K}_\pm \to \mathcal{B}_1$ is analytic and has a meromorphic extension from $\mathbb{K}_\pm$ into the whole complex plane. Moreover, $Y_\pm(k), k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$ satisfies*

$$\|Y_\pm(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leqslant 1, \qquad if \qquad |k| \geqslant r_*. \tag{2.32}$$

Proof. For $k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$ identity (2.31) gives

$$Y_\pm(k)(k) = Y_\pm^0(k)(I + Y_\pm^0(k))^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_1 \tag{2.33}$$

and, since $Y_\pm^0$ is analytic in $\mathbb{K}_1$, $Y_\pm(k)$ is also analytic. Due to the analytic Fredholm theorem, see [RS72, Th VI.14], the function $Y_\pm(k)$ has a meromorphic extension into the whole complex plane. Moreover, the identity (2.27) and Lemma 2.4 give (2.32). ∎

3. The determinant

3.1. **The determinant.** We discuss the determinant $D_\pm(k), k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(p,q) \in \mathscr{H}$. Then the determinant $D_+(k) = \det(I + Y_+^0(k))$ is analytic in $\mathbb{K}_+$ and has an analytic extension into the whole complex plane without zero. Moreover, the function $k^3 D_\pm(k)$ is entire.*

Proof. Due to Lemma 2.4 ii) each operator $Y_\pm^0(k), k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{B}_1$ and the operator-valued function $Y_\pm^0(k)$ is analytic in $k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$. Moreover, $Y_\pm^0(k)$ has an analytic extension from $\mathbb{K}_\pm$ into the whole complex plane without zero. Then the determinant $D_\pm(k), k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$ is analytic in $k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$ and has an analytic extension from $k \in \mathbb{K}_\pm$ into the whole complex plane without zero. The statement that $k^3 D_\pm(k)$ is entire was proved in [OY12]. ∎

3.2. **Asymptotics of the determinant.** The estimates (2.27) give $\|Y_+^0(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} = o(1)$ as $|k| \to \infty, k \in \mathbb{K}_+$. Thus we can define the branch $\log D_+(k), k \in \mathbb{K}_+$ for such k large enough by

$$\log D_+(k) = o(1) \qquad \text{as} \qquad |k| \to \infty, \quad \text{and} \qquad k \in \mathbb{K}_+. \tag{3.1}$$

We need the following standard results.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(p,q) \in \mathscr{H}$. Then*

$$\operatorname{Tr} Y_+^0(k) = \frac{2e_+p_0}{3ik} + \frac{e_-q_0}{3ik^2}, \qquad \forall\ k \neq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

If $k \in \mathbb{K}_+, |k| \geqslant r_*$, where $r_* > 0$ is defined by (1.13), then

$$|\operatorname{Tr} Y_+^0(k)| \leqslant \frac{1}{4}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\log D_+(k) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr}(-Y_+^0(k))^n, \tag{3.4}$$

$$|\log D_+(k) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr}(-Y_+^0(k))^n| \leqslant \frac{C_*^{N+1}}{|k|^{N+1}}, \quad \forall\ N \geqslant 1, \tag{3.5}$$

$$|\log D_+(k) - \operatorname{Tr} Y_+^0(k)| \leqslant \log 2 - \frac{1}{2}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$|\log D_+(k)| \leqslant 2, \tag{3.7}$$

where the series converges absolutely and uniformly in $|k| \geqslant r_*$.

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{K}_+$. The kernel of the free resolvent $R_0^+(k,t)$ is analytic in $t = x - y$. We determine asymptotics of $R_0^+(k,t)$ as $t \to 0$. The identities (2.8) give

$$-i3k^2 R_0^+(k,t) = \begin{cases} e^{itk} + e_+ e^{itke_+} = 1 + e_+ + ikt(1+e_+^2) + O(t^2) = h + O(t^2) & \text{as } t > 0 \\ -e_- e^{itke_-} = -e_- - e_-^2 itk + O(t^2) = h + O(t^2) & \text{as } t < 0 \end{cases},$$

where $h = -e_- - ikte_+$ as $t \to 0$, since $1 + e_+ + e_- = 0$. Let $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{ie_-}{3}$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} R_0^+(t,k) = \frac{e_- + ikte_+}{i3k^2} + O(t^2) = -\frac{\varepsilon_0}{k^2}\big(1 + ike_- t\big) + O(t^2), \\ \partial R_0^+(t,k) = -\frac{\varepsilon_0 e_-}{k} + O(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Using (3.8) we have

$$\operatorname{Tr} Y_+^0(k) = \int_0^\gamma (2p\partial_x + q_p) R_0^+(k,t)\big|_{x=y} dx = -\frac{2\varepsilon_0 e_- p_0}{k} - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{k^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (q - ip')dx = \frac{2e_+ p_0}{3ik} + \frac{e_- q_0}{3ik^2},$$

since $\int_{\mathbb{R}}(q - ip')dx = q_0$. This gives (3.2). We have

$$|\operatorname{Tr} Y_+^0(k)| \leqslant \frac{2|p_0|}{3|k|} + \frac{|q_0|}{3|k|^2} \leqslant \frac{2\|p\|\sqrt{\gamma}}{3|k|} + \frac{\|q\|\sqrt{\gamma}}{3|k|^2} \leqslant \frac{C_*}{6|k|} + \frac{C_*}{6|k|^2} \leqslant \frac{C_*}{3|k|} \leqslant \frac{1}{4},$$

which yields (3.3). The estimates (2.26), (2.28) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \big|\operatorname{Tr}(Y_\pm^0(k)^n)\big| \leqslant \|Y_\pm^0(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^n \leqslant \frac{(2C_*/3)^n}{|k|^n}, \\ \big|\operatorname{Tr}(Y_\pm^0(k)^n)\big| \leqslant \|Y_\pm^0(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^n \leqslant 2^{-n}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

for all $n \geqslant 2$. Then the series (3.4) converges absolutely and uniformly and it is well-known that the sum is equal to $\log D_+(k)$ (see [RS78, Lemma XIII.17.6]). The estimates (3.5) follow from (3.9), (3.4):

$$|\log D_+(k) + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{Tr}(-Y_+^0(k))^n| \leqslant \frac{(2C_*/3)^{N+1}}{|k|^{N+1}}\Big(1 + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + ...\Big) \leqslant \frac{C_*^{N+1}}{|k|^{N+1}}.$$

Moreover, in this case we have

$$|\log D_+(k) - \operatorname{Tr} Y_+^0(k)| \leqslant \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{2^{-n}}{n} = \log 2 - \frac{1}{2},$$

which gives (3.6) and adding (3.3) we have (3.7). ∎

We determine asymptotics of $D_+(k)$ as $|k| \to \infty$ in $\mathbb{K}_+$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(p,q) \in \mathscr{H}$ and let $|k| \to \infty, \quad k \in \mathbb{K}_+$. Then*

$$\operatorname{Tr} Y_+^0(k)^2 = \frac{O(1)}{k^3}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\log D_+(k) = \frac{2e_+ p_0}{3ik} + \frac{e_- q_0}{3ik^2} + \frac{O(1)}{k^3}, \tag{3.11}$$

uniformly in $\arg k \in [0, \frac{\pi}{3}]$.

Proof. The definition (1.5) and the identity (2.8) give

$$\operatorname{Tr} Y_+^0(k)^2 = J(k) + \frac{O(1)}{k^3}, \quad J(k) = \iint_{[0,\gamma]^2} p(x)\partial_x R_0(x-y,k)p(y)\partial_y R_0(y-z,k)|_{x=z}dydx$$

and using (2.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J(k) &= \frac{e_+}{9k^2}\iint_{t>0} p(x)p(y)(e^{itk}+e_-e^{itk^+})e_+e^{-itk^-}dxdy \\ &+\frac{e_+}{9k^2}\iint_{t<0} p(x)p(y)e^{itk^-}(e^{-itk}+e_-e^{-itk^+})e^{itk^-}dxdy \qquad (3.12)\\ &= \frac{e_+}{9k^2}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} p(x)p(y)(e^{i|t|(k-k^-)}+e_-e^{i|t|(k^+-k^-)})dxdy = \frac{O(1)}{k^3}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the integration by parts in the last identity. Similar arguments show $\operatorname{Tr}(Y_+^0(k))^3 = O(k^{-4})$. The last asymptotics and the estimate (3.5) give

$$\log D_+(k) = \operatorname{Tr} Y_+^0(k) - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(Y_+^0(k))^2 + O(k^{-3}).$$

The asymptotics (3.2), (3.10) and the estimate (3.5) give the asymptotics (3.11). ■

Now we are ready to prove the first theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $(p,q) \in \mathscr{H}$. Then by Lemma 3.1, the determinant $D_+(k) = \det(I + Y_+^0(k))$ is analytic in $\mathbb{K}_+$ and has an analytic extension into the domain $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. Moreover, the function $k^3 D_\pm(k)$ is entire. Asymptotics (1.8) was determined in Lemma 3.3.

ii) In order to show the equalities (1.9), we need the following simple result. Assume that a function f is analytic in $\mathbb{C}_+$ and continuous up to $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ and satisfies the following condition:

$$\operatorname{Im} f(\cdot + i0) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \qquad f(i\tau) = -\frac{Q_f + o(1)}{i\tau} \quad as \quad \tau \to \infty. \qquad (3.13)$$

for some $Q_f \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$Q_f = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_R \operatorname{Im} f(t+i0)dt. \qquad (3.14)$$

Then the above arguments from i) give that the function $D_+(\lambda^{\frac{1}{3}}), \lambda^{\frac{1}{3}} \in \mathbb{K}_+$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}_+$, continuous up to $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$. Define a function

$$f(\lambda) = ie^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\frac{\log D_+(\lambda)}{\lambda^{\frac{2}{3}}}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Due to (1.8) this function satisfies:

$$\operatorname{Re} f(\lambda + i0) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \qquad f(i\tau) = -\frac{2p_0 + o(1)}{3(i\tau)} \qquad as \qquad \tau \to \infty. \qquad (3.15)$$

This yields (1.9). ■ .

4. The identities for the determinant and S-matrix

4.1. **The spectral representation for H_0.** In this section we study scattering matrix. Recall the Fourier transformation $\Phi f(k) = \widehat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-ikx}dx$ for $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall that

$V_1(x) = \chi_{[0,\gamma]}(x)$ and $V_2 = \big(2p\partial + (q - ip')\big)$. Introduce the functionals $\psi_1(k) : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\psi_2(k) : \mathbb{C} \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_1(k)f)(x) &= \Phi V_1 f(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ikx} V_1(x) f(x) dx, \\ \psi_2(k)c &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx} V(x,k)c, \qquad V(x,k) = k2p + (q - ip'), \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(p,q) \in \mathscr{H}$. Then the functionals $\psi_j(k), k \in \mathbb{R}_+, j = 1,2$ have analytic extensions into the whole complex plane and for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy*

$$\|\psi_1(k)\| \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_+}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\|\psi_2(k)\| \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|V(\cdot,k)\|_2 e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_-} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\psi_1(k)\psi_2(k) = \frac{2kp_0 + q_0}{2\pi} = \frac{V_0}{2\pi}, \tag{4.4}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$, where $q_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} q dx$ and

$$(a)_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(|a| \pm a) \qquad \forall\ a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. It is clear that $\psi_1(k), k \in \mathbb{R}_+$ has an analytic extension into the complex plane. The functional $\psi_1(k), k \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$g = (\psi_1(k)f)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \int_0^\gamma \frac{e^{-ikx}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\ f(x)dx, \qquad |g|^2 \leqslant \gamma \frac{e^{2\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_+}}{2\pi} \|f\|_2^2,$$

which yields (4.2). The proof for ψ_2 is similar. From the definition of ψ_1, ψ_2, we obtain

$$\psi_1(k)\psi_2(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \big(k2p + (q - ip')\big) dx = \frac{2kp_0 + q_0}{2\pi} = \frac{V_0}{2\pi}.$$

■

4.2. **The scattering matrix.** The identity $(\Phi H_0 \Phi^* \widehat{f})(k) = k^3 \widehat{f}(k),\ k \in \mathbb{R}$, implies that $\Phi H_0 \Phi^*$ is the operator of multiplication by k^3 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dk)$. Recall that the operator $\mathcal{S} = W_+^* W_-$ commutes with the operator H_0. Then the operator $\Phi \mathcal{S} \Phi^*$ acts in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dk)$ as multiplication by a scalar function $S_+(k) = \mathcal{S}(k^3), k > 0$ (corresponding to the spectral parameter $k^3 = \lambda > 0$) has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} S_+(k) &= 1 - c_k \mathcal{A}^+(k), \qquad \forall\ k > 0, \quad \mathcal{A}^+ = \mathcal{A}_0^+ - \mathcal{A}_1^+, \\ c_k = \frac{2\pi i}{3k^2}, \quad \mathcal{A}_0^+(k) &= \psi_1(k)\psi_2(k), \qquad \mathcal{A}_1^+(k) = \psi_1(k) Y_+(k + i0) \psi_2(k) \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

and a scalar function $S_-(k) = \mathcal{S}(k^3), k \in e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\mathbb{R}_+$ (corresponding to the spectral parameter $k^3 = \lambda < 0$) given by

$$S_-(k) = 1 - c_k \mathcal{A}^-(k), \quad \mathcal{A}^-(k) = e_+ \psi_1(k^+)(I - Y_+(k+0))\psi_2(k^+) \tag{4.6}$$

for all $k \in e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\mathbb{R}_+$, see, e.g., [RS79]). Here $\mathcal{A}^\pm(k)$ is the modified scattering amplitude.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(p,q) \in \mathscr{H}$. Then the modified scattering amplitude $\mathcal{A}^+(k)$ has a meromorphic extension from $\mathbb{R}_+$ into the whole complex plane. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}^+(k), k \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}_+, |k| \geqslant r_*$ satisfies*

$$\mathcal{A}_0^+(k) = \frac{2kp_0 + q_0}{2\pi}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\|\mathcal{A}_1^+(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2\pi}\|V(\cdot,k)\|_2 e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_+}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$|S_+(k) - 1| \leqslant \frac{C_*}{6|k|}(1 + e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_+}) \leqslant \frac{1}{6}(1 + e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_+}). \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. From the definition of $\mathcal{A}_0^+(k)$ in (4.5) and (4.4) we obtain (4.7).

From the definition of $\mathcal{A}_1^+(k)$ in (4.5), (4.1) and from estimates (4.2), (4.3), (2.32) we obtain

$$\frac{2\pi}{3|k|^2}|\mathcal{A}_1^+(k)| = |\psi_1(k)Y_+(k+i0)\psi_2(k)| \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{3|k|^2}\|2pk + (q - ip')\|_2 e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_+}$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{3|k|}(2\|p\|_2 + \|q - ip'\|_2)e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_+} = \frac{C_*}{6|k|}e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_+} \leqslant \frac{e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_+}}{6}$$

and

$$\frac{2\pi}{3|k|^2}|\mathcal{A}_0^+(k)| = \frac{(2|p_0||k| + |q_0|)}{3|k|^2} \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{3|k|}(2\|p\|_2 + \|q - ip'\|_2) = \frac{C_*}{6|k|} \leqslant \frac{1}{6}.$$

Using (4.5) and estimates above we obtain

$$|S_+(k) - 1| \leqslant \frac{C_*}{6|k|} + \frac{C_*}{6|k|}e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_+} \leqslant \frac{1 + e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_+}}{6},$$

which yields (4.9). ∎

We describe an analytic extension of the scattering matrix.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. i) Let $\lambda > 0$. Then for $k \pm i0 = (\lambda \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{3}} \in \mathbb{R}_+ \pm i0$ we have the Birman-Krein formula [BK62]

$$\frac{D_-(k - i0)}{D_+(k + i0)} = \frac{D_-(k)}{D_+(k)} = \mathcal{S}(k^3) = S_+(k), \qquad k = (\lambda)^{\frac{1}{3}} \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

which yields (1.11), since the functions $D_\pm$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Thus the S-matrix $S_+(k), k > 0$ has an analytic extension into the sector $\mathbb{K}_+$ and a meromorphic extension into the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. The zeros of S_+ coincide with the zeros of D_- and the poles of S_+ are precisely the zeros of D_+.

ii) Let $\lambda < 0$. Then for $k = (\lambda)^{\frac{1}{3}} \in e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\mathbb{R}_+$ we have the Birman-Krein formula

$$\frac{D_-(k_1)}{D_+(k)} = \mathcal{S}(k^3) = S_-(k), \qquad \text{where } k_1 = e^{-i2\frac{\pi}{3}}k \in e^{-i\frac{\pi}{3}}\mathbb{R}_-,$$

which yields (1.12), since the functions $D_\pm$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Later on the proof is similar to the case i). ∎

Using the Birman-Krein formula we estimate the determinant $D_-(k)$ in the sector $\mathbb{K}_+$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $p, q \in \mathscr{H}$, and let $k \in \mathbb{K}_+, |k| \geqslant r_*$. Then*

$$|D_-(k)| \leqslant 2\left(1 + \frac{C_*}{6|k|}(1 + e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_+})\right) \leqslant 2 + \frac{1}{3}(1 + e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_+}). \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. Substituting estimates (4.9), (3.7) in the identity (1.11) we obtain

$$|D_-(k)| = |D_+(k)S_+(k)| \leqslant 2\bigg(1 + \frac{C_*}{6|k|}(1 + e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_+})\bigg) \leqslant 2 + \frac{1}{3}(1 + e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im} k)_+}).$$

■

4.3. **Transformations of the determinant.** In Section 3 we have obtained the analytic extension of the function $D_\pm$ from $\mathbb{K}_\pm$ in the into $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. In order to get estimates of the determinant in the complex plane we need new identities. Now we describe these analytic extension of the function $D_\pm$ from $\mathbb{K}_\pm$ into $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. In fact we construct the precise analytic continuation in each of the domains $\mathbb{K}'_\pm, \mathbb{K}''_\pm$ given by (see Fig. 1):

$$\mathbb{K}'_+ = e_*\mathbb{K}_+, \quad \mathbb{K}''_+ = e_+\mathbb{K}_+, \quad \textit{and} \qquad \mathbb{K}'_- = \overline{e}_*\mathbb{K}_-, \quad \mathbb{K}''_- = e_-\mathbb{K}_-. \tag{4.11}$$

where $e_* = e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}$ and $e_\pm = e^{\pm i\frac{2\pi}{3}}$. We rewrite (2.14) in another form and determine the first identity

$$Y^0_+(k) - Y^0_-(k) = P(k) = c_k\psi_2(k)\psi_1(k), \qquad k \neq 0, \qquad c_k = \frac{i2\pi}{3k^2}, \tag{4.12}$$

where $P(k)$ is a rank one operator with a kernel $P(k, x, y)$ given (2.15).

We rewrite (2.18) in another form and determine the second identity

$$Y^0_+(k) - Y^0_-(k^-) = P(k^+) = c_k e_+\psi_2(k^+)\psi_1(k^+), \qquad k \neq 0. \tag{4.13}$$

Thus (4.12) and (4.12) imply the third identity for Y^0_+:

$$Y^0_+(k) = Y^0_+(k^-) + P_1(k), \quad P_1(k) = P(k^+) - P(k^-). \tag{4.14}$$

We define

$$\psi^\pm_j(k) = \psi_j(k^\pm), \quad \Psi_2 = (e_+\psi^+_2, -e_-\psi^-_2), \qquad \Psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix}\psi^+_1\\ \psi^-_1\end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.15}$$

Then we have

$$P_1 = P(k^+) - P(k^-) = c_k\bigg(e_+\psi^+_2\psi^+_1 - e_-\psi^-_2\psi^-_1\bigg) = c_k\Psi_2\Psi_1. \tag{4.16}$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $p, q \in \mathscr{H}$, and let $k \in \mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$\Psi_1(k)\Psi_2(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\gamma \begin{pmatrix} e_+V(x,k^+) & -e_-e^{\sqrt{3}kx}V(x,k^-)\\ e_+e^{-\sqrt{3}kx}V(x,k^+) & -e_-V(x,k^-)\end{pmatrix} dx. \tag{4.17}$$

Proof. Substituting (4.15), (4.1) in the identity (1.11) we obtain

$$\Psi_1(k)\Psi_2(k) = \begin{pmatrix}\psi^+_1(k)\\ \psi^-_1(k)\end{pmatrix}(e_+\psi^+_2(k), -e_-\psi^-_2(k))$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\gamma \begin{pmatrix}e^{-ik^+x}\\ e^{-ik^-x}\end{pmatrix} V(x)(e_+e^{ik^+x}, -e_-e^{ik^-x})dx = \frac{1}{2\pi}\begin{pmatrix} e_+V_0(k^+) & 0\\ 0 & -e_-V_0(k^-)\end{pmatrix} + \Psi_{12}(k),$$

and using $-i(k^+ - k^-) = \sqrt{3}k$ we have

$$\Psi_{12}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\gamma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e_-e^{-i(k^+-k^-)x}V(x,k^-)\\ e_+e^{i(k^+-k^-)x}V(x,k^+) & 0\end{pmatrix} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\gamma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e_-e^{\sqrt{3}kx}V(x,k^-)\\ e_+e^{-\sqrt{3}kx}V(x,k^+) & 0\end{pmatrix} dx.$$

■

Lemma 4.5. *Let $p, q \in \mathscr{H}$, and let $k \neq 0$. Then*

$$P(k^+) - P(k^-) + P(k) = c_k\big(\Psi_2(k), \psi_2(k)\big) \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1(k) \\ \psi_1(k) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.18}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \psi_1 \end{pmatrix} (\Psi_2, \psi_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1\Psi_2 & \Psi_1\psi_2 \\ \psi_1\Psi_2 & \psi_1\psi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(k)\Psi_2(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\gamma (e_+V(x,k^+)e^{-\sqrt{3}e_*kx}, -e_-V(x,k^-)e^{\sqrt{3}\overline{e}_*kx})dx, \\ \Psi_1(k)\psi_2(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\gamma \begin{pmatrix} e^{\sqrt{3}e_*kx} \\ e^{-\sqrt{3}\overline{e}_*kx} \end{pmatrix} V(x,k)dx, \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

and $c_k = \frac{i2\pi}{3k^2}$ and $e_* = e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}$.

Proof. Substituting (4.15), (4.1) in the identity (1.11) we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \psi_1 \end{pmatrix} (\Psi_2, \psi_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1\Psi_2 & \Psi_1\psi_2 \\ \psi_1\Psi_2 & \psi_1\psi_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we need to consider the terms $\Psi_1\psi_2$ and $\psi_1\Psi_2$, since the term $\Psi_1\Psi_2$ and $\psi_1\psi_2$ has been studied in Lemmas 4.4 and 4.2. Substituting (4.15), (4.1) in the identity (1.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(k)\Psi_2(k) = \psi_1(k)(e_+\psi_2^+(k), -e_-\psi_2^-(k)) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\gamma e^{-ikx}V(x,\partial)(e_+e^{ik^+x}, -e_-e^{ik^-x})dx \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\gamma (e_+V(x,k^+)e^{ik(e_+-1)x}, -e_-V(x,k^-)e^{ik(e_--1)x})dx \end{aligned}$$

where $i(e_+ - 1) = -\sqrt{3}e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}$ and $i(e_- - 1) = \sqrt{3}e^{-i\frac{\pi}{3}}$. Similar arguments imply

$$\Psi_1(k)\psi_2(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1^+(k) \\ \psi_1^-(k) \end{pmatrix} \psi_2(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\gamma \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i(k^+-k)x} \\ e^{-i(k^--k)x} \end{pmatrix} V(x,k)dx.$$

■

In order to prove the main estimate (1.14) we need the following identities.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $p, q \in \mathscr{H}$, and let $k \neq 0$. Then the following identities hold true:*

$$D_+(k) = D_-(k^-)\det(I + c_k\psi_1(k^+)\mathcal{J}_-(k^-)\psi_2(k^+)), \qquad k \in \mathbb{K}'_+, \tag{4.21}$$

$$D_+(k) = D_+(k^-)\det(I + c_k\Psi_1(k)\mathcal{J}_+(k^-)\Psi_2(k)), \qquad k \in \mathbb{K}''_+, \tag{4.22}$$

and

$$D_+(k) = D_-(k^+)\det\left(I + c_k \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1(k) \\ \psi_1(k) \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}_-(e_+k)(\Psi_2(k), \psi_2(k))\right), \qquad k \in \mathbb{K}''_-, \tag{4.23}$$

where $\mathcal{J}_\pm(k) = I - Y_\pm(k)$.

Proof. 1) Let $k \in \mathbb{K}'_+$. Then $k^- \in \mathbb{K}_-$ and due to (4.13) we have:

$$D_+(k) = \det(I + Y_+^0(k)) = \det(I + Y_-^0(k^-) + P(k^+)) = D_-(k^-)\det(I + \mathcal{J}_-(k^-)P(k^+))$$

which gives (4.21).

2) Let $k \in \mathbb{K}''_+$. Then $k^- \in \mathbb{K}_+$ and due to (4.14) we have:

$$D_+(k) = \det(I + Y^0_+(k)) = \det(I + Y^0_+(k^-) + P_1(k))$$
$$= \det(I + Y^0_+(k^-)) \det(I + \mathcal{J}_+(k^-)P_1(k)) = D_+(k^-) \det(I + \mathcal{J}_+(k^-)c_k\Psi_2(k)\Psi_1(k))$$
$$= D_+(k^-) \det(I + c_k\Psi_1(k)\mathcal{J}_+(k^-)\Psi_2(k)).$$

3) Let $k \in \mathbb{K}''_-$, where $k^+ \in \mathbb{K}_-$. We have

$$Y^0_+(k) = Y^0_+(k^+) - P_1(k^+) = Y^0_-(k^+) + P(k^+) - P(k^-) + P(k)$$
$$= Y^0_-(k^+) + c_k(\Psi_2(k), \psi_2(k)) \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1(k) \\ \psi_1(k) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.24}$$

Then we obtain

$$D_+(k) = \det(I + Y^0_+(k)) = \det\Big(I + Y^0_-(k^+) + P(k^+) - P(k^-) + P(k)\Big)$$
$$= \det(I + Y^0_-(k^+)) \det\left(I + c_k\mathcal{J}_-(k^+)(\Psi_2(k), \psi_2(k)) \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1(k) \\ \psi_1(k) \end{pmatrix}\right)$$
$$= D_-(k^+) \det\left(I + c_k \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1(k) \\ \psi_1(k) \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}_-(k^+)(\Psi_2(k), \psi_2(k))\right).$$

which gives (4.23). ∎

5. Estimates of the determinant on the plane

We prove the basic estimates (1.14) of D_+ in the plane.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $p, q \in \mathscr{H}$, and let $|k| \geqslant r_* = \max\{\frac{4}{3}C_*, 1\}$, where $C_* = 2\sqrt{\gamma}\big(\|q - ip'\|_2 + 2\|p\|_2\big)$. Then*

$$|D_+(k)| \leqslant 2 + e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im} k^+|}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{K}'_+, \tag{5.1}$$
$$|D_+(k)| \leqslant 4e^{-\gamma\sqrt{3}\operatorname{Re} k}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{K}''_+. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. 1) Let $k \in \mathbb{K}'_+$. Then we have $k^+ \in \mathbb{K}''_-, k^- \in \mathbb{K}_-$. Due to (4.13) the determinant $D_+(k)$ has the form

$$D_+(k) = D_-(k^-) \det(I + c_kF(k)), \quad F = F_0 - F_1,$$
$$F_1(k) = \psi_1(k^+)Y_-(k^-)\psi_2(k^+), \qquad F_0(k) = \psi_1(k^+)\psi_2(k^+), \tag{5.3}$$

where $c_k = \frac{i2\pi}{3k^2}$. Lemma 4.1 gives

$$F_0(k) = \psi_1(k^+)\psi_2(k^+) = \frac{V_0(k^+)}{2\pi}. \tag{5.4}$$

The resolvent estimate (2.32) and Lemma 4.1 gives

$$|F_1(k)| \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2\pi}\|V(\cdot, k^+)\|_2\|Y_-(k^-)\|e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im} k^+|} \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2\pi}v(k^+)e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im} k^+|}, \tag{5.5}$$
$$\text{where } v(k) = \|V(\cdot, k)\|_2.$$

Combine (5.4)-(5.5) and (2.25) we obtain

$$|c_k||F(k)| \leqslant \frac{|c_k||V_0(k^+)|}{2\pi} + \frac{|c_k|\sqrt{\gamma}}{2\pi}\|V(\cdot, k^+)\|_2e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im} k^+|} \leqslant |c_k|\frac{|k|C_*}{\pi}e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im} k^+|} \leqslant \frac{e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im} k^+|}}{2}$$

since $\frac{C_*}{3|k|} \leqslant \frac{1}{4}$. Then due to (3.7), $|D_-(k^-)| \leqslant 2$ and (5.3) we have (5.2).

2) Let $k \in \mathbb{K}''_+$. Then we have $k^+ \in \mathbb{K}'_-$ and $k^- \in \mathbb{K}_+$. Due to (4.22) the determinant $D_+(k)$ has the form

$$D_+(k) = D_+(k^-)\det(I + c_k F(k)), \qquad F = F_0 - F_1,$$
$$F_0(k) = \Psi_1(k)\Psi_2(k), \qquad F_1(k) = \Psi_1(k)Y_+(k^-)\Psi_2(k),$$

Lemma 4.4 gives

$$F_0(k) = \Psi_1(k)\Psi_2(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\gamma \begin{pmatrix} e_+V(x,k^+) & -e_-e^{\sqrt{3}kx}V(x,k^-) \\ e_+e^{-\sqrt{3}kx}V(x,k^+) & -e_-V(x,k^-) \end{pmatrix} dx, \tag{5.6}$$

and then

$$|F_0(k)|_M \leqslant_\bullet \frac{1}{2\pi}\begin{pmatrix} |V_0(k^+)| & \sqrt{\gamma}v(k^+) \\ \sqrt{\gamma}e^{-\gamma\sqrt{3}\operatorname{Re}k}v(k^+) & |V_0(k^-)| \end{pmatrix} \leqslant_\bullet \frac{|k|C_*}{2\pi}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ e^{-\gamma\sqrt{3}\operatorname{Re}k} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.7}$$

Here and below for the matrix $b = \{b_{ij}\}$ we define $|b|_M = \{|b_{ij}|\}$ and we will write:

$$\begin{aligned} &|b|_M := \{|b_{ij}|\}, \\ &\{b_{ij}\} \leqslant_\bullet \{c_{ij}\} \;\Leftrightarrow\quad b_{ij} \leqslant c_{ij} \quad \forall\, ij. \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Definition (4.15) gives

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 = \Psi_1 Y_+(k^-)\Psi_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1^+ \\ \psi_1^- \end{pmatrix} Y_+(k^-)(e_+\psi_2^+, -e_-\psi_2^-) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1^+ Y_+(k^-)e_+\psi_2^+ & -e_-\psi_1^+ Y_+(k^-)\psi_2^- \\ \psi_1^- Y_+(k^-)e_+\psi_2^+ & -e_-\psi_1^- Y_+(k^-)\psi_2^- \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Then due to (2.25), (2.25) we estimate the matrix $F_1(k)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} |F_1(k)|_M &\leqslant_\bullet \|Y_+(k^-)\|\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2\pi}\begin{pmatrix} e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^+|}v(k^+) & v(k^-) \\ e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k^-)_+ + \gamma(\operatorname{Im}k^+)_-}v(k^+) & e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^-|}v(k^-) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\leqslant_\bullet \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2\pi}\begin{pmatrix} e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^+|}v(k^+) & v(k^-) \\ e^{-\gamma\sqrt{3}\operatorname{Re}k}v(k^+) & e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^-|}v(k^-) \end{pmatrix} \leqslant_\bullet \frac{C_*|k|}{2\pi}\begin{pmatrix} e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^+|} & 1 \\ e^{-\gamma\sqrt{3}\operatorname{Re}k} & e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^-|} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

and then

$$|D_+(k)| \leqslant |D_+(k^-)||\det(I + c_k F(k))| \leqslant 2\det G \leqslant 4e^{-\gamma\sqrt{3}\operatorname{Re}k},$$
$$G = \frac{1}{16}\det\begin{pmatrix} 5 + e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^+|} & -1 \\ e^{-\gamma\sqrt{3}\operatorname{Re}k} & 5 + e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^-|} \end{pmatrix},$$

since $\frac{C_*}{3|k|} \leqslant \frac{1}{4}$ and $|\operatorname{Im}k^+| + |\operatorname{Im}k^-| = -\sqrt{3}\operatorname{Re}k$. ∎

We estimate D_+ in the most difficult case, when $k \in \mathbb{K}''_-$ and $|k| \geqslant r_*$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $p, q \in \mathscr{H}$. Let $|k| \geqslant r_*$ and $\phi = \arg k \in [\pi, \pi + \frac{\pi}{6}]$. Then*

$$|D_+(k)| \leqslant 48e^{-2r\gamma\sin(\frac{\pi}{3}+\phi)}. \tag{5.11}$$

Proof. Let $k = re^{i\phi}, \phi \in [\pi, \pi + \frac{\pi}{6}]$. Then $k^+ \in \mathbb{K}_-, k^- \in \mathbb{K}'_+$. We need

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Im}k^- = -r\sin(\phi + \tfrac{\pi}{3}), \qquad \operatorname{Im}k^+ = -r\sin(\phi - \tfrac{\pi}{3}), \\ &(\operatorname{Im}k^-)_+ + (\operatorname{Im}k^+)_- = -r\sin(\phi + \tfrac{\pi}{3}) + r\sin(\phi - \tfrac{\pi}{3}) = -r\sqrt{3}\cos\phi = -\sqrt{3}\operatorname{Re}k, \\ &(\operatorname{Im}k^-)_+ + (\operatorname{Im}k)_- = -r\sin(\phi + \tfrac{\pi}{3}) + r\sin\phi = -r\cos(\phi + \tfrac{\pi}{6}) = -\operatorname{Re}ke^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Due to (4.23) the determinant $D_+(k)$ has the form

$$D_+(k)=D_-(k^+)\det\Big(I+c_kF(k)\Big),\qquad F=\begin{pmatrix}\Psi_1\\ \psi_1\end{pmatrix}(I-Y_-(e_+\cdot))(\Psi_2,\psi_2)=F_0-F_1,$$

where $c_k=\frac{i2\pi}{3k^2}$ and

$$F_0=\begin{pmatrix}\Psi_1\\ \psi_1\end{pmatrix}(\Psi_2,\psi_2)=\begin{pmatrix}\Psi_1\Psi_2 & \Psi_1\psi_2\\ \psi_1\Psi_2 & \psi_1\psi_2\end{pmatrix},\qquad F_1=\begin{pmatrix}\Psi_1\\ \psi_1\end{pmatrix}Y_-(e_+\cdot)(\Psi_2,\psi_2).$$

From Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 we have

$$F_0(k)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\gamma\begin{pmatrix}e_+V(x,k^+) & -e_-e^{\sqrt{3}kx}V(x,k^-) & e^{\sqrt{3}e_*kx}V(x,k)\\ e_+e^{-\sqrt{3}kx}V(x,k^+) & -e_-V(x,k^-) & e^{-\sqrt{3}\overline{e}_*kx}V(x,k)\\ e_+e^{-\sqrt{3}e_*kx}V(x,k^+) & -e_-e^{\sqrt{3}\overline{e}_*kx}V(x,k^-) & V(x,k)\end{pmatrix}dx,$$

where $e_*=e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}$, and then (here we use definitions (5.8) and $v(k)=\|V(\cdot,k)\|_2$)

$$|F_0(k)|_M\leqslant_\bullet\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2\pi}\begin{pmatrix}v(k^+) & v(k^-) & v(k)\\ e^{-\sqrt{3}\gamma\operatorname{Re}k}v(k^+) & v(k^-) & e^{-\sqrt{3}\gamma\operatorname{Re}\overline{e}_*k}v(k)\\ e^{-\sqrt{3}\gamma\operatorname{Re}e_*k}v(k^+) & v(k^-) & v(k)\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\leqslant_\bullet\frac{C_*|k|}{2\pi}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1 & 1\\ e^{-\sqrt{3}\gamma\operatorname{Re}k} & 1 & e^{-\sqrt{3}\gamma\operatorname{Re}\overline{e}_*k}\\ e^{-\sqrt{3}\gamma\operatorname{Re}e_*k} & 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}\leqslant_\bullet\frac{|k|^2}{8\pi}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1 & 1\\ e^{-\sqrt{3}\gamma\operatorname{Re}k} & 1 & e^{-\sqrt{3}\gamma\operatorname{Re}\overline{e}_*k}\\ e^{-\sqrt{3}\gamma\operatorname{Re}e_*k} & 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider F_1. The definitions (4.15) give

$$F_1=\begin{pmatrix}\Psi_1\\ \psi_1\end{pmatrix}Y_-(e_+\cdot)(\Psi_2,\psi_2)$$

$$=\begin{pmatrix}\psi_1^+Y_+(e_+\cdot)e_+\psi_2^+ & -e_-\psi_1^+Y_+(e_+\cdot)\psi_2^- & \psi_1^+Y_+(e_+\cdot)\psi_2\\ \psi_1^-Y_+(e_+\cdot)e_+\psi_2^+ & -e_-\psi_1^-Y_+(e_+\cdot)\psi_2^- & \psi_1^-Y_+(e_+\cdot)\psi_2\\ \psi_1Y_+(e_+\cdot)e_+\psi_2^+ & -e_-\psi_1Y_+(e_+\cdot)\psi_2^- & \psi_1Y_+(e_+\cdot)\psi_2\end{pmatrix}.\tag{5.13}$$

Then Lemma 4.1 and the estimate (2.32) give

$$|F_1(k)|_M\leqslant_\bullet\frac{C_*|k|}{2\pi}\begin{pmatrix}e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^+|} & e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k^+)_+\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k^-)_-} & e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k^+)_+\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k)_-}\\ e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k^-)_+\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k^+)_-} & e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^-|} & e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k^-)_+\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k)_-}\\ e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k)_+\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k^+)_-} & e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k)_+\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k^-)_-} & e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k|}\end{pmatrix}$$

and then

$$|F_1(k)|_M\leqslant_\bullet\frac{|k|^2}{8\pi}\begin{pmatrix}e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^+|} & 1 & e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k)_-}\\ e^{-\gamma\sqrt{3}\operatorname{Re}k} & e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^-|} & e^{-\gamma\operatorname{Re}ke^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}}\\ e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k^+)_-} & 1 & e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k|}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$|c_kF|_M\leqslant_\bullet\frac{1}{6}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1 & 1\\ e^{-\sqrt{3}\gamma\operatorname{Re}k} & 1 & e^{-\sqrt{3}\gamma\operatorname{Re}\overline{e}_*k}\\ e^{-\sqrt{3}\gamma\operatorname{Re}e_*k} & 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}+\frac{1}{6}\begin{pmatrix}e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^+|} & 1 & e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k)_-}\\ e^{-\gamma\sqrt{3}\operatorname{Re}k} & e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^-|} & e^{-\gamma\operatorname{Re}ke^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}}\\ e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k^+)_-} & 1 & e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k|}\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\leqslant\frac{1}{3}\begin{pmatrix}e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^+|} & 1 & e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k)_-}\\ e^{-\gamma\sqrt{3}\operatorname{Re}k} & e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k^-|} & e^{-\gamma\operatorname{Re}ke^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}}\\ e^{\gamma(\operatorname{Im}k^+)_-} & 1 & e^{\gamma|\operatorname{Im}k|}\end{pmatrix}.$$

These estimates imply

$$|\det(I + c_k F)| \leqslant 8(4/3)^3 e^{\gamma(|\operatorname{Im} k^+|+|\operatorname{Im} k^-|+|\operatorname{Im} k|)}. \tag{5.14}$$

Here we have for $k = re^{i\phi}$:

$$|\operatorname{Im} k^-| + |\operatorname{Im} k^+| + |\operatorname{Im} k| = -2r(\tfrac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\cos\phi + \tfrac{1}{2}\cos\phi) = -2r\sin(\tfrac{\pi}{3} + \phi). \tag{5.15}$$

Substituting estimates (5.14) and (3.7) into the identity $D_+(k) = D_-(k^+)\det\big(I + c_k F(k)\big)$ we obtain (5.11). ■

6. Proof of main theorems

6.1. **Asymptotics of the counting function. Proof of Corollary 1.4.** Define the entire function $F(k) = D_+(k)k^m$. Let $\mathcal{N}(r)$ be the number of zeros of an analytic function F in the disc $|k| < r$ counted with multiplicity. Recall the Jensen's formula for F:

$$\int_0^r \frac{\mathcal{N}(t,F)}{t}dt = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log|F(re^{i\vartheta})|d\vartheta - \log|F(0)|. \tag{6.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{N}(r) = \mathcal{N}(r,F)$. Let $f(re^{i\vartheta}) = \log|D_+(re^{i\vartheta})|$. We take Jensen's formula (6.1) at $2r$ and $r \geqslant r_*$ and take a difference we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_r^{2r} \frac{\mathcal{N}(t)}{t}dt &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\Big(\log|F(2re^{i\vartheta})| - \log|F(re^{i\vartheta})|\Big)d\vartheta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}(\log|D_+(2re^{i\vartheta})| - \log|D_+(re^{i\vartheta})|)d\vartheta + m\log 2\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Then substituting inequalities $|D_+(k)| \leqslant 48e^{2\gamma r}$ for all $|k| \geqslant r_*$ from Theorem 1.4 into the last integral we get

$$\mathcal{N}(r)\log 2 \leqslant \int_2^{2r}\frac{\mathcal{N}(t)}{t}dt \leqslant 2\log 48 + 6\gamma r + m\log 2, \tag{6.3}$$

which yields (1.4). ■

Proof of Corollary 1.5. We discuss the determinant $D_+(k), k \in \mathbb{K}_+$, when the coefficients $(p,q) \in \mathscr{H}$. In this case due to Proposition 2.1 the operator $R_0(k) - R(k) \in \mathcal{B}_1$, which yields the identity

$$\frac{1}{3k^2}\frac{D_+'(k)}{D_\pm(k)} = \operatorname{Tr} R_0(k)VR(k) = \operatorname{Tr}(R_0(k) - R(k)), \qquad k \in \mathbb{K}_+. \tag{6.4}$$

Recall that this fact is well-known for large class of operators.

We need also the well known result about the Hadamard factorization. The function $k^m D_+(k)$ is entire, of exponential type, and denote by $(k_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ the sequence of its zeros $\neq 0$ (counted with multiplicity), so arranged that $0 < |k_1| \leqslant |k_2| \leqslant \dots$. Then we have

$$D_+(k) = \frac{C}{k^m}e^{bk}\lim_{r\to\infty}\prod_{|k_n|\leqslant r}\left(1 - \frac{k}{k_n}\right)e^{\frac{k}{k_n}}, \quad C = \lim_{k\to 0} k^m D_+(k) \neq 0, \tag{6.5}$$

for some $b \in \mathbb{C}$ and integer m, where the product converges uniformly in every bounded disc. This gives

$$\frac{D_+'(k)}{D_+(k)} = -\frac{m}{k} + b + \sum_{n\geqslant 1}\frac{k}{k_n(k-k_n)}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{K}_+, \tag{6.6}$$

where the sum converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, k_n, n \geqslant 1\}$. Collecting all these identities we obtain (1.19).

The identity (1.11) gives the standard formula

$$S_+(k) = \frac{\overline{D}_+(k)}{D_+(k)} = e^{-2i\phi_{sc}(k)}, k > 0,$$

where ϕ_{sc} is defined by (1.18). Differentiating this identity we obtain

$$S_+(k)' = -2i\phi'_{sc}(k)S_+(k) = \Big(\frac{\overline{D}'_+(k)}{\overline{D}_+(k)} - \frac{D'_+(k)}{D_+(k)}\Big)S_+(k)$$

and substituting (6.6) we obtain (1.20). ■

7. Appendix

In this section we consider the scattering amplitude and for simplicity we assume that $p = 0$. We consider the "approximation" of the scattering amplitude. For three order operators it is not simple problem. We show that the second term $\mathcal{A}_1^+(k)$ in (7.1) still is not a "good" approximation.

Recall that due to (4.5), (4.7) we have that the S-matrix for $k > 0$ has the form

$$S_+(k) = 1 - \frac{2\pi i}{3k^2}\Big(\frac{q_0}{2\pi} - \mathcal{A}_1^+(k)\Big), \quad \mathcal{A}_1^+(k) = \psi_1(k)Y_+(k+i0)\psi_2(k), \quad k > 0. \tag{7.1}$$

Here the coefficient $\frac{q_0}{2\pi}$ corresponds to the so-called Born term. It is a first approximation of the scattering amplitude.

Consider the next term $\mathcal{A}_1^+$. Using the identity $Y_+ = Y_+^0 - Y_+^0 Y_+$ we rewrite $\mathcal{A}_1^+$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1^+ = T - \widetilde{T}, \quad T = \psi_1(k)Y_+^0(k+i0)\psi_2(k), \\ \widetilde{T} = \psi_1(k)Y_+^0(k+i0)Y_+(k+i0)\psi_2(k). \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

Here T is the second approximation of $\mathcal{A}_1^+$ and $\widetilde{T}$ is the third approximation.

Consider the second term T. Let $t = x - y$. From (7.2), (4.1) we obtain the decomposition

$$T(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ik(x-y)}q(x)R_+^0(k+i0,t)q(y)dxdy = T_1(k) + T_2(k),$$

$$T_1(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\iint_{t>0} e^{-ikt}q(x)R_+^0(k+i0,t)q(y)dxdy.$$

• Consider the function T_1. Then from (2.8) we get

$$T_1(k) = \frac{i}{6\pi k^2}\iint_{t>0} e^{-ikt}q(x)q(y)(e^{itk} + e_+e^{itke_+})dxdy$$

$$= \omega\iint_{t>0} q(x)q(y)(1 + e_+e^{it\zeta})dxdy = \omega\Big(f_+(0) + e_+f_+(\zeta)\Big), \quad \zeta = (e_+ - 1)k,$$

where $\omega = \frac{i}{6\pi k^2}$ and $f_+(0)$ is a constant and

$$\begin{aligned} f_\pm(\zeta) = \iint_{\pm t>0} q(x)q(y)e^{it\zeta}dxdy, \\ e_+ - 1 = \sqrt{3}e^{i\pi - i\frac{\pi}{6}}, \qquad \zeta = (e_+ - 1)k \in \mathfrak{S} = \{\arg z \in [\pi - \frac{\pi}{6}, \pi + \frac{\pi}{6}]\}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

We consider large ζ and let $|\zeta| \to \infty$. Here we have two cases
firstly, if $\zeta \in \mathfrak{S} \cap \mathbb{C}_-$, then $|f_+(\zeta)| \to +\infty$;
secondly, if $\zeta \in \mathfrak{S} \cap \mathbb{C}_+$, then $f_+(\zeta) = o(1)$.

• Consider the function T_2. We have

$$T_2(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{t<0} e^{-ikt} q(x) R_+^0(k+i0,t) q(y) dxdy =$$

$$= -e_-\omega \iint_{t<0} q(x)q(y)e^{itk(e_- - 1)} dxdy = -e_-\omega \iint_{t<0} q(x)q(y)e^{it\zeta e_*} dxdy = -e_-\omega f_-(e_*\zeta),$$

where $e_* = e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}$ and $e_*\zeta \in \mathbb{C}_-$. Thus we deuce that the function $f_-(e_*\zeta) \to 0$ as $|k| \to \infty$. Thus combine these two cases we obtain

$$T(k) = \omega(f_+(0) + e_+ f_+(\zeta) - e_- f_-(e_*\zeta)),$$

$$f_-(e_*\zeta) = o(1), \qquad f_+(\zeta) \to \begin{cases} 0 & as \quad \arg k \in [0, \pi/6] \\ \infty & as \quad k \in \arg k \in [\pi/6, \pi/3] \end{cases} \tag{7.4}$$

as $k \in \mathbb{K}_+$ and $|k| \to \infty$. Then we deduce that $T(k) = \omega(f_+(0) + o(1))$ as $|k| \to \infty$ and $\arg k \in [0, \pi/6]$, i.e., it does not go to ∞. Thus S-matrix for the operator H has more complicated structure than for Schrödinger operators, since the first term $\mathcal{A}_0$ and even the second term T do not give information about the resonances.

Acknowledgments. Our study was supported by the RSF grant No. 15-11-30007.

References

[A99] L. Amour, Determination of a third-order operator from two of its spectra, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 30 (1999) 1010-1028.

[A01] L. Amour, Isospectral flows of third order operators, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 32 (2001), 1375-1389.

[BK14] Badanin, A.; Korotyaev, E. Third order operator with periodic coefficients on the real line, St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal, 25.5 (2014), 713–734.

[BK12] Badanin, A.; Korotyaev, E. Spectral asymptotics for the third order operator with periodic coefficients, J. Differential Equations, 253 (2012), No 11, 3113–3146.

[B85] Beals R. The inverse problem for ordinary differential operators on the line American Journal of Mathematics. 107(1985), 281–366.

[BDT88] R. Beals, P. Deift, C. Tomei, Direct and inverse scattering on the line, Mathematical surveys and monograph series, No. 28, AMS, Providence, 1988.

[BK62] M. Sh. Birman, M.; Krein, M. On the theory of wave operators and scattering operators, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat., 144 (1962), 475-478; English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl., 3 (1962), 740-744.

[BZ02] L.V. Bogdanov, V.E. Zakharov, The Boussinesq equation revisited, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena 165:3-4 (2002) 137–162.

[BKW03] Brown, B.; Knowles, I.; Weikard, R. On the inverse resonance problem, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 68 (2003), no. 2, 383–401.

[C80] Caudrey, P. J. The inverse problem for the third order equation $u''' + qu' + ru = -i\zeta^3 u$. Physics Letters A, 79(4) (1980), 264–268.

[C06] Christiansen, T. Resonances for steplike potentials: forward and inverse results. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), no. 5, 2071–2089.

[DTT82] P. Deift, C. Tomei, E. Trubowitz, Inverse scattering and the Boussinesq equation, Comm. on Pure and Appl. Math. 35 (1982) 567–628.

[F84] Firsova, N. Resonances of the perturbed Hill operator with exponentially decreasing extrinsic potential. Mathematical Notes, 36(1984), no 5, 854-861.

[F97] Froese, R. Asymptotic distribution of resonances in one dimension. J. Diff. Eq. 137 (1997), no. 2, 251–272.
[H99] Hitrik, M. Bounds on scattering poles in one dimension. Comm. Math. Phys. 208 (1999), no. 2, 381–411.
[IK14] Iantchenko, A.; Korotyaev, E. Resonances for 1D massless Dirac operators, Journal of Differential Equation, 256(2014), No 8, 3038 - 3066.
[Ko88] Koosis, P. The logarithmic integral I, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, London, New York 1988.
[K04] Korotyaev, E. Inverse resonance scattering on the half line. Asymptot. Anal. 37 (2004), no. 3-4, 215–226.
[Ko04] Korotyaev, E. Stability for inverse resonance problem. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004, no. 73, 3927–3936.
[K05] Korotyaev, E. Inverse resonance scattering on the real line. Inverse Problems 21(2005), no. 1, 325–341.
[K11] Korotyaev, E. Resonance theory for perturbed Hill operator, Asymp. Anal. 74(2011), no. 3-4, 199–227.
[KS12] Korotyaev, E.; Schmidt, K. On the resonances and eigenvalues for a 1D half-crystal with localized impurity, J. Reine Angew. Math. 2012, Issue 670, 217–248.
[K16] Korotyaev, E. Estimates of 1D resonances in terms of potentials, to be published in Journal d'Analyse Mathematique.
[Ko16] Korotyaev, E. Resonances for 1d Stark operators, to be published in Journal of Spectral Theory.
[LL65] Landau L. D., Lifshits, E. M. Quantum Mechanics, Non-relaticistic Theory. Perganon Press, 1965.
[L66] Leibenzon, Z. I. The inverse problem of the spectral analysis of ordinary differential operators of higher orders. Trudy Moskovskogo Matematicheskogo Obshchestva 15 (1966), 70–144.
[MSW10] Marletta, M.; Shterenberg, R.; Weikard, R., On the Inverse Resonance Problem for Schrödinger Operators, Commun. Math. Phys., 295(2010), 465–484.
[Ne07] Nedelec, N. Asymptotics of resonances for a Schrödinger operator with vector values. Journal of Functional Analysis, 244 (2007), 387–398.
[OY12] Östensson J., Yafaev D. R. A trace formula for differential operators of arbitrary order, A panorama of modern operator theory and related topics. Springer Basel, 2012, 541–570.
[PT] J. Pöschel, E. Trubowitz, Inverse spectral theory, Academic Press, Boston, 1987.
[S00] Simon, B. Resonances in one dimension and Fredholm determinants, J. Funct. Anal. 178 (2000), no. 2, 396–420.
[S05] Simon, B. Trace ideals and their applications. Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 120. AMS, Providence, RI, 2005.
[RS72] Reed, M., Simon, B. Methods of modern mathematical physics. Vol. 1. Functional analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
[RS75] Reed, M., Simon, B. Methods of modern mathematical physics. II. Fourier analysis, self-adjointness, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
[RS79] Reed, M.; Simon, B. Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. III: Scattering Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
[RS78] Reed, M.; Simon, B. Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol.IV: Analysis of Operators, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
[SZ91] Sjöstrand, J.; Zworski, M. Complex scaling and the distribution of scattering poles. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1991), no. 4, 729–769.
[S90] Sukhanov V. V. An inverse problem for a selfadjoint differential operator on the line, Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik. 65(1990), No 1, 249–266.
[Y00] Yurko V. A. Inverse spectral problems for linear differential operators and their applications. CRC Press, 2000.
[Z87] Zworski, M. Distribution of poles for scattering on the real line, J. Funct. Anal. 73(1987), 277–296.
[Z02] Zworski, M. SIAM, J. Math. Analysis, "A remark on isopolar potentials" 82(2002), no. 6, 1823–1826.
[Z99] Zworski, M. Resonances in physics and geometry, Notices of the AMS 46(1999), no. 3, 319–328.

Saint-Petersburg State University, Universitetskaya nab. 7/9, St. Petersburg, 199034, Russia, korotyaev@gmail.com, e.korotyaev@spbu.ru